17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

2 3 4 U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS, LLC, 5 Plaintiff, 6 7 ACER, INC., et al., 8 Defendants. ATHEROS COMMUNICATIONS, INC., et 10 Intervenors. 11 12 U.S. ETHERNET INNOVATIONS, LLC, 13 Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 AT&T MOBILITY, LLC, et al., 16

No. C 10-5254 CW

No. C 10-3724 CW

BRIEFING SCHEDULE

(Docket Nos. 1140, 1143, 1147, 1151,

ORDER SETTING SUMMARY JUDGMENT

1152)

Defendants,

In this consolidated patent infringement case, after Plaintiff U.S. Ethernet Innovations, LLC (USEI) filed its twentyfive page summary judgment brief, Defendants and Intervenors (collectively, Defendants) moved for an extension of the page limit for their joint opposition and cross motion to one hundred pages. USEI opposed, arguing that an extension on such short notice would be prejudicial. The Court ordered the parties to meet and confer regarding a revised briefing schedule. The parties could not agree and, on July 9, 2014, submitted competing proposals for revising the briefing schedule. <u>See</u> Docket Nos. 1151, 1152.

Defendants propose that they be permitted to file a seventy-five page joint opposition and cross motion by July 17, 2014.

USEI would file an opposition and reply not exceeding seventy-five pages by August 7, 2014. Defendants would then file their reply by August 14, 2014. The hearing would be held on August 28, 2014 at 2:00 PM.

The Court finds USEI's proposal to be the more reasonable of the two. In exchange for a twenty-five page limit extension on Defendants' opening brief, USEI will not introduce any new affirmative arguments and offers to maintain the current hearing date. Accordingly, the Court adopts as modified USEI's proposal. On July 15, 2014, Defendants shall file their opposition and joint cross motion brief not exceeding fifty pages. On July 31, 2014, USEI shall file their opposition and reply brief, which shall not exceed fifty pages. On August 7, 2014, Defendants shall file their reply brief not exceeding twenty-five pages. The hearing shall be held on August 14, 2014 at 2:00 PM.

The parties are reminded that they should meet and confer before filing even administrative motions, including the one filed

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  USEI proposes that Defendants file their brief by today, July 10, 2014. Because Defendants request at least two days to reorganize their brief to comply with any changed requirements, see Docket No. 1147, the Court instead permits Defendants to file their brief by July 15, 2014.

## Case 4:10-cv-03724-CW Document 1153 Filed 07/10/14 Page 3 of 3

by Defendants to change the brief	ing schedule. The meet and
confer process can facilitate a c	compromise that would obviate the
need for adversary proceedings or	relatively minute matters.
Electronic notification of the co	ourt filing should not be the
first instance that opposing cour	isel hears of the dispute.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: 7/10/2014	CAUSTA WILKEN United States District Judge